

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,109	06/23/2003	Kevin R. Manke	2001-IP-004117 U1D1 USA	7240
20558	7590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
KONNEKER & SMITH P. C. 660 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			JACKSON, ANDRE K	
SUITE 230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX	X 75074		2856	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	*
	10/602,109	MANKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	André K. Jackson	2856	<i>\</i> 8°
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this common that the common terms is the common terms in the common terms is the common term	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2004.		
,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 28-33 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	llan alantian naminamant		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			40470
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) Aii b) Some c) inione of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ariority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<i>,</i> —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	¬	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			-

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 28,29,31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ringgenberg et al. (5799733)

Regarding claim 28, Ringgenberg et al. disclose in the patent entitled "Early evaluation system with pump and method of servicing a well" a formation testing apparatus including at least one waste chamber (chamber 46), and at least two packers (20,22,20,24, Figure 1) configured for isolating the formation when set in the wellbore, the at least two packers forming therebetween an annulus extending between the apparatus and the wellbore after the at least two packers are set, the waste chamber being opened in response to pressure In the annulus (Figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 29, Ringgenberg et al. disclose where the waste chamber receives therein wellbore fluid from the annulus when the waste chamber is opened (Figures 1-3).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 31, Ringgenberg et al. disclose a formation testing apparatus including at least one waste chamber, at least one packer configured for isolating the formation when set In the wellbore, and a tubular string disposed above the packer, the waste chamber being opened in response to pressure In an annulus formed between the apparatus and the wellbore after the packer is set, the waste chamber receiving fluid therein after the fluid initially flows into the tubular string at a location thereon disposed above the packer (Figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 32, Ringgenberg et al. disclose where the waste chamber receives therein wellbore fluid from the annulus when the waste chamber is opened (Figures 1-3).

3. Claims 28,29,31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott.

Regarding claim 28, Scott discloses in the patent entitled "Drill stem fluid sampler" a formation testing apparatus including at least one waste chamber (container 22,23), and at least two packers (19,17, Figure 1) configured for isolating the formation when set in the wellbore, the at least two packers forming therebetween an annulus extending between the apparatus and the wellbore after the at least two packers are set, the waste chamber being opened in response to pressure In the annulus (Columns 1-3).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 29, Scott discloses where the waste chamber (container 22,23) receives therein wellbore fluid from the annulus when the waste chamber is opened (Figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 31, Scott discloses a formation testing apparatus including at least one waste chamber (container 22,23), at least one packer (19) configured for isolating the formation when set In the wellbore, and a tubular string disposed above the packer, the waste chamber being opened in response to pressure In an annulus formed between the apparatus and the wellbore after the packer is set, the waste chamber receiving fluid therein after the fluid initially flows into the tubular string at a location thereon disposed above the packer (Figures 1-3, Columns 1-3).

Regarding claim 32, Scott discloses where the waste chamber (container 22,23) receives therein wellbore fluid from the annulus when the waste chamber is opened (Figures 1-3, Columns 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 2856

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ringgenberg et al. in view of Christensen (ep0295922).

Regarding claim 30, Ringgenberg et al. do not disclose where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. However, Christensen discloses where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested (Abstract, Columns 1,2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ringgenberg et al. to include where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to secure a multiple samples within different chambers.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 33, Ringgenberg et al. do not disclose where there are multiple waste chambers, and where there are multiple formations intersected by the wellbore, and further comprising a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. However, Christensen discloses where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested (Abstract, Columns 1,2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ringgenberg et al. to include where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to secure a multiple samples within different chambers.

6. Claims 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott in view of Christensen.

Regarding claim 30, Scott does not disclose where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations

Art Unit: 2856

Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. However, Christensen discloses where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested (Abstract, Columns 1,2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Scott to include where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to secure a multiple samples within different chambers.

Regarding claim 33, Scott does not disclose where there are multiple waste chambers, and where there are multiple formations intersected by the wellbore, and further comprising a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested.

However, Christensen discloses where there are multiple waste

Art Unit: 2856

chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested (Abstract, Columns 1,2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Scott to include where there are multiple waste chambers, and wherein there are multiple formations Intersected by the wellbore, and further including a module of the apparatus which opens each of the waste chambers in sequence prior to a corresponding one of the formations being tested. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to secure a multiple samples within different chambers.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2856

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier
 communications from the examiner should be directed to André K.
 Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2196. The
 examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2856

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.J.

April 12, 2004

HEZŘON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800